European Network on New Sensing Technologies for Air Pollution Control and Environmental Sustainability - *EuNetAir* 

**COST Action TD1105** 

### WGs and MC Meeting at ISTANBUL, 3-5 December 2014

Action Start date: 01/07/2012 - Action End date: 30/06/2016

Year 3: 1 July 2014 - 30 June 2015 (Ongoing Action)

### PARTICLE POLLUTION IN DANISH DOMESTIC HOMES: IMPACT OF OUTDOOR SOURCES FOR INDOOR EXPOSUREPRESENTATION



Aarhus University

### **Professor Ole Hertel**

WG3 Leader and MC Member

**Aarhus University, Denmark** 



### Assessment of health effects:

- Address AP used for personal exposure
- People spend typically 90% indoors
- Indoor-outdoor ration thus crucial
- Particles most hazardous
- Penetration to indoor depend on size etc.
- What is the role of different sources















### Modelled PM10 and PM2.5 using UBM model





# Ratio between street level & urban background





## Campaign in woodstove area





- Village with intense use of woodstove
  - Measurements and modelling
  - Emission inventories





### Woodstove measurement campaign



# Week without woodstove – mean values of soot measurements

Measurement site in village (Anemonevej)	<b>4.7 Mm<sup>-1</sup></b>
Background (Schellerupgård)	3.6 Mm <sup>-1</sup>
Indoor (house without woodstove)	<b>2.1 Mm<sup>-1</sup></b> (about 45 % of outdoor level)

### Vascular and lung function related to ultrafine and fine particles exposure assessed by personal and indoor monitoring 60 houses in West of Copenhagen, 70% of time participants spent at home







Aerasense NanoTracer (Philips) PNC, d<sub>a</sub>=10÷300nm

### Tab. Correlations between exposure variables

		Personal monitoring - PNC			Stationary indoor monitoring (home)	
		Total period (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	When at home (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	When away from home (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	PNC (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Ν		59	59	59	60	60
P	<b>Median</b> (5 <sup>th</sup> , 95 <sup>th</sup> ercentile)	9.3 (4.5, 36.5)	8.8 (3.4, 36.7)	8.9 (2.8, 55.5)	8.5 (3.7, 24.1)	12.3 (7.7, 23.2)
ing -	Total (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.000				
al monitor PNC	When at home (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.45* (0.00)	1.000			
Person	When away from home (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.93* (0.00)	0.10 (0.43)	1.000		
Stationary indoor monitoring	PNC (10 <sup>3</sup> /cm <sup>3</sup> )	0.15 (0.24)	0.41* (0.00)	0.01 (0.94)	1.000	
	PM <sub>2.5</sub> (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.22 (0.12)	0.44* (0.00)	0.03 (0.84)	0.49* (0.0000)	1.000

Values are medians (5<sup>th</sup>, 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles); Pearson product moment coefficients (p-values)

Personal PNC integrated for time at home
Personal PNC integrated for time away from home

## Results highlights the importance of exposure away from home

PNC exposure while away from home significantly associated with:

 Reduction in microvascular function



### EndoPat 2000

### Inflammatory response (leukocyte &neutrophil counts)

Where do the particles deposit in the respiratory system ?

## Particle deposition Mechanisms

- > Impaction
- > Sedimentation
- > Diffusion
- > Interception
- Electrostatic precepitation



Factors determining respiratory tract deposition

- > exposure concentration
- » exposure duration
- > respiratory tract anatomy
- > ventilation parameters
- > particle properties:size, hygroscopicity







## Particle deposit in respiratory system



### AirGIS automatic generation street configuration

Calculations for the nutrition, cancer, health cohort of 50.000 people & 200.000 addresses Currently mapping all Danish addresses





### Mapping address level exposure in Danish city



### **NH3 concentration NH4+ concentration**





b

N

## **Need for inexpensive devices for:**

- Studying indoor-outdoor relation
- Personal exposure monitoring
- Geographic distribution in levels

