European Network on New Sensing Technologies for Air Pollution Control and Environmental Sustainability - *EuNetAir* 

**COST Action TD1105** 

## Final Meeting at PRAGUE (CZ), 5-7 October 2016

### New Sensing Technologies for Air Quality Monitoring

Action Start date: 01/07/2012 - Action End date: 15/11/2016 - EXTENSION: 15/11/2016

### AIR QUALITY NETWORKS: LESSONS LEARNED, CURRENT STATUS AND FUTURE OPPORTUNITIES



John Saffell Alphasense Ltd. jrs@alphasense.com







We will discuss:

Sensor performance

AQ Network requirements

Validation



## Air Quality Monitoring Networks Examples of miniature air quality sensors

NO<sub>2</sub>, NO, CO, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> Electrochemical

 $CO_2, CH_4$ Spectroscopic

**SVOCs** Photo-ionisation

Optical particulates BC, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>25</sub>, PM<sub>1</sub>

Metal Oxides **SVOCs** 







## Metal Oxides: alternatives to PIDs for VOCs

n-types have fundamental problems: rh and baseline drift; p-types are more stable and insensitive to humidity

Filters are the key to selectivity



Electrochemical cells are linear

LoD: 1 to 5 ppb, depending on the sensors and electronics

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_4.jpeg)

OX-A431 and OX-B431

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

Time (min)

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

## We can now separate O<sub>3</sub> from NO<sub>2</sub> and humidity transient response is improved

![](_page_5_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

T (C)

## **Types of Air Quality Networks**

**Fixed site**: 50 to 5,000 nodes per city- *best calibration options* 

**Mobile**: trams, buses, special cars- *no power problems, but a moving platform* 

**Personal**: rapid mapping of the city, citizens make local pollution maps- *hardest to validate* 

Wearable: a fitbit that measures gases, particulatesa technology challenge

## Managing a fixed site AQ Network

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Air Quality Monitoring Networks Can Africa and Asia afford it?

### TOTAL \$

#### Stage 1 (initial review)

Initial AQ review: 6 nodes, analysis, report

#### Stage 2 (full deployment)

Each node: \$1,500 to \$3,000 x 100 nodes Deployment, maintenance, cloud- 3 yrs Validation, analysis, apps, reports 150-300,000 300,000 250,000 **TOTAL < 1M\$** 

\$100,000

## Differences between lab calibration and field testing IMPORTANT

Lab: controlled environment, degrees of freedom are known Good correlation and **Bayesian networks** work well

**Field**: more degrees of freedom, no control of the variables Need to go to **machine learning/ Deep Belief Networks** (G Hinton 2007)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Alphasense gas testing system

# 120 gas bottles130 DMFCs2 km micropolished SS316 gas lines

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

Automated 8 channel permeation tube system

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Validating AQ Networks in the field

Overcoming problems and using the network to reduce errors/ improve accuracy

Temperature variations Long term sensor drift Interfering gases and particulates Temperature and humidity transients Diurnal and seasonal variations

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

## From the Equator to the Arctic

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Temperature**

## Lessons we have learned

- Air quality is transient, so **sample every 10 to 60 seconds** to separate background and local sources
- Relative change in air quality is not difficult to monitor: **absolute concentration** is the challenge; andabsolute baseline concentration is the most important (and the most challenging)
- Use your chemistry. Analyse diurnal patterns, consider the role of photochemistry and beware of NO/NO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>3</sub> reactions
- **Co-location** is the secret to in-field calibration of mobile networks and long term fixed sites.
- No sensor is perfect- use chemistry-based maths to **deconvolve interfering gases**
- Get the **electronics** right, so you do not have to worry about noisy data
- Combine data from **multiple sensors** for a complete picture
- Anemometers are very useful tools to separate local and background pollution sources

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

AQM

Conclusions

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

**Fixed site** low cost Air Quality networks are here. But- they do not replace AQMs.

**PM and NO<sub>2</sub> sensors** now work to requirement- finally!

Validation is our next step. Co-location and good network management are needed

**Mobile and personal** AQ points are being tested- needed to complement fixed sites and for healthcare

Wearables are still in the future

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Acknowledging our Air Quality friends and colleagues

Wah On Ho, Mark Giles, Ronan Baron Rod Jones and his group Joe Stetter Stephen Earp Jim Mills Paul Kaye Paul Williams Mike Jerrett Jesse Kroll., Eben Cross, Rex Britter Jane Hodgkinson, Ralph Tatam Michele Penza Frank Dauge Jacqueline McGlade Brian Stacey, Ian Marshall Nick Martin **Ron Williams** 

Alphasense Ltd Cambridge University SPEC Sensors and KWJ Engineering Inc Environmental Instruments Ltd Air Monitors I td. University of Hertfordshire University of Manchester UC Berkeley, UCLA MIT University of Cranfield ENEA, EuNetAir NILU, CITISENSE UNEP Ricardo NPL USEPA