European Network on New Sensing Technologies for Air Pollution Control and Environmental Sustainability - *EuNetAir*

COST Action TD1105

3rd International Workshop *EuNetAir* on *New Trends and Challenges for Air Quality Control* University of Latvia - Faculty of Geography and Earth Sciences Riga, Latvia, 26 - 27 March 2015

THE MOSSCLONE FP7 PROJECT: MONITORING AIR QUALITY USING MOSS AS PASSIVE SENSOR



J. Ángel Fernández

MossClone Project Coordinator

jangel.fernandez@usc.es

University of Santiago de Compostela / Spain

Air pollution and health

- Air pollution affects human health. According to the World Health Organization each year 2 million of deaths are related to atmospheric contamination.
- Several EU Directives aimed at reducing pollution to levels which minimize its harmful effects.

 - 2004/107: As, Cd, Hg, Ni and PAHs



Air pollution monitoring

- The levels of air pollutants in urban and industrial environments are monitored by automated stations.
 - □ Technical complexity and high costs
 → mainly gases and particles are controlled.
 - Lack of data about many heavy metals, metalloids or PAHs.
 - Monitoring stations are installed depending on number of inhabitants.

Currently there are no data on many airborne pollutants for much of the EU territory.







Air pollution biomonitoring

- New tools are needed that combine robustness and low costs.
- The use of biomonitoring is a suitable alternative and terrestrial mosses are the best choice.

Why mosses?

- Lack of a root system.
- High surface/mass ratio.
- Good ion exchange capacity.
- Scarce seasonality.
- Absence of protection tissues.



High efficiency in loading particulate and gaseous organic and inorganic pollutants.



Air pollution biomonitoring: moss-bags

- In urban and industrial environments is difficult to find native mosses.
- Mosses are suitable to be transplanted in so-called 'moss-bags'.
- Why moss-bags?
 - □ The material can be exposed in *ad hoc* sampling grids.
 - It is possible to calculate enrichment rates.
 - □ The exposure period is known.
 - Surveys can be repeated on time.









Moss-bags technique

- Moss-bags are made from naturally growing mosses collected from unpolluted areas.
- Some problems can arise when preparing moss-bags:
 - The environmental impact due to sampling native mosses.
 - There may be changes in their availability in the field.
 - □ The inherent natural variability of their body concentrations \rightarrow effect on the estimates of the enrichment after exposure.



Moss-bags technique

Solution:

Culture moss in laboratory ensuring homogeneity of the material and its continuous availability.

- $\hfill \label{eq:solution}$ Isolating a clone \rightarrow high level of standardization.
- Development of a new biotechnological tool for pollution control.
- New material for simultaneously controlling of pollutants on the same matrix.







Moss-bags technique

- Additional problem when using moss-bags: lack of standardized protocols and wellestablished methodology.
 - Most suitable moss species.
 - Material for making bags.
 - Shape and size of bags.
 - Exposure height
 - Exposure period.









The MossClone project

"Creating and testing a method for controlling the air quality based on a new biotechnological tool. Use of a devitalized moss clone as passive contaminant sensor"

	Call:	FP7-ENV-2011-ECO-INNOVATION-TwoStage												
	Period:	01/04/2012 – 3	1/03/201	15										
	Total budget:	4.485.293 €	(UE co	ntribution: 3.492.220 €)										
		1.499.005 €	(42.9%)Personnel										
		77.000€	(2%)	Subcontracts										
		734.119€	(21%)	Other direct costs										
(elone 🍾	1.181.899€	(34%)	Indirect costs										
	DSS	211.760 €	(6%)	Management										



The MossClone consortium

- University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain). Coordinator.
- AMRA (Italy)
- University of Freiburg (Germany)
- University of A Coruña (Spain)
- CNRS-University Paul Sabatier (France)
- Biovia (SME, Spain)
- ORION (SME, Italy)
- T.E. Laboratories (SME, Ireland)
- Tecnoambiente (SME, Spain)
- Maderas Ornanda (SME, Spain)





tecnoambiente



Academic/public institutions: Small-Medium Enterprises: 63% of total budget 37%

__ L__] I___ L___ JROPEAN COOPERATION IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY



Objectives of the MossClone project

- 1. Selection of moss species on the basis of existing knowledge about their use as bio-monitor, and their geographical and ecological distributions. Selection is further directed based on sampling and study of physical-chemical characteristics for the species selected based on the existing knowledge.
- **2. Creating a pilot bioreactor for the cultivation** of the selected species. Based on these results and those of objective 1, one moss species will be selected for the isolation and culturing of moss clones.
- **3.** Characterization of the selected moss clone: molecular characterization (DNA finger printing, etc.), chemical composition (multi-elemental analysis), and physical and physical-chemical characterization (e.g. surface stability constants, specific surface area, maximal surface adsorption capacity, physical heterogeneity, porosity, surface charge, etc.).



Objectives of the MossClone project

- **4. Scaling up moss clone cultivation** from pilot bioreactor scale to large-scale clone production.
- 5. Design and standardization of moss-bags through the selection of type of mesh and shape for bags, and determination of the ideal ratio weight of moss to size of bag.
- 6. Methodological standardization for exposure conditions: effect of height and exposure time on exposure.
- 7. Moss-bags vs. current state-of-the-art methods for air pollution monitoring: comparisons with pollutants in bulk deposition, with particle samplers, with gaseous samplers, and with pollutant passive samplers.
- 8. To develop a method and perform an initial validation of its usefulness for the detection of atmospheric small scale pollution focus using moss clone bags.



Project activities and interconnectivities

WP1-Management

WP2-Clone cultivation & characterization

NΡ	Task	1/	2 3	4	5	6	7 8	9	10	11	12	13	14 1:	5 16	5 17	18	19	20	21	22	23 2	4 25	26	27	28	29	30 3	31 3	32 3	3 3/	1 35	36
1	Management																															
2	2.1. Literature review for species selection		•																													
	2.2. Species selection		40		•																											
	2.3. Cultivation moss clone					•							*																			
	2.4. Molecular characterization 🦰												+•																			
	2.5. Analytical optimization				ΙH							•																				
	2.6. Multi-element characterization																															
	2.7. Physical-chemical characterization																															
3	3.1. Moss-bags preparation				4	•																								_		
	3.2. Stand. essays: mesh effect					H											•	ן ר												_		
	3.3. Stand.essays: shape, size and moss weight effect					H											•	-												_		
	3.4. Stand. essays: height effect					H											•															
	3.5. Stand. essays: exposure time effect					4	•										•															
	3.6. Scaling up to large-scale clone production																															
	3.7. Clone moss-bags preparation																	•	Ŧ													
4	4.1. Standard bags vs. bulk deposition																		•	-			_							⊥		
	4.2. Cover bags vs. particles samplers																		•	-			_							⊥		
	4.3. Cover bags with diffuser vs. gaseous samplers																		•											⊥		
	4.4. Fitting bags to small-scale pollution focus method																									+				⊥		
	4.4.1. Exposition of clone bags																									•	•	•	¥	╨		
	4.4.2. Obtain reference pollutant distributions																						1						•	▙		
	4.4.3. Testing method																		_													
5	Dissemination and protection of results																															
																										-	-					

WP3-Tool development

WP5-Exploitation and dissemination

WP4-Detectors



Experimental set-up





Instruments





Bulk deposition vs. moss clone: PAHs



EUROPEAN COOPERATION IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Passive sorbent vs. moss clone: Hg









CONCLUSIONS

- A moss clone has been isolated and is growing under laboratory conditions.
- The clone has been fully characterized (i.e. genetically, chemical and physic-chemically).
- The moss-bag technique has been optimized: shape, weight/volume ratio, height and exposure time.
- Preliminary results for PAHs and some metals show that concentrations in moss clone bags reflect clearly changes in atmospheric pollution.
- Higher accumulation of Hg in clone bags than commercial sorbents, without saturation and fully operative outdoor and indoor.





